Case: 24-3244 Document: 114 Filed: 04/04/2025 Pages: 8

U.S.C.A. — 7th Circuit RECEIVED

APR 0 4 2025 1

tcamarda@gmx.com

From:

tcamarda@gmx.com

Sent:

Monday, March 31, 2025 1:06 PM

To:

'Michelle Bieber'

Subject: Attachments: FINAL GOOD FAITH PROPOSAL TO RESOLVE PARENTING AND SUPPORT PRIVATELY

A58 - Shared Parenting Plan & Addressing Financial Concerns.pdf

Importance:

High

# Subject: FINAL GOOD FAITH PROPOSAL TO RESOLVE PARENTING AND SUPPORT PRIVATELY

Michelle,

This letter serves as a **formal**, **good faith proposal** to resolve all remaining issues concerning parenting time and financial support **outside of litigation** and without further interference from federal or state enforcement bodies. This communication is made with full legal awareness and reserves all constitutional and procedural rights under both **federal and Illinois law**.

As you are aware—or should be by now—the federal litigation currently pending in the **United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit**, *Camarda v. Whitehorn et al.*, Case No. 24-3244, has reached a **summary judgment activation stage**, with more than 100 unrebutted filings on record. The Title IV-D enforcement apparatus, through which prior child support enforcement occurred, has now been fully challenged under **constitutional**, **procedural**, and **UCC law**, and **is no longer valid or enforceable** in relation to my obligations toward you or our children.

#### YOU ARE NO LONGER RECEIVING SUPPORT VIA TITLE IV-D

You are now officially outside the federal enforcement framework. Any further attempts to collect child support via administrative action, wage garnishment, or state referral will constitute a violation of federal law and be treated accordingly.

I am, however, prepared to **privately provide support consistent with actual income and equal parenting**, under terms that reflect good judgment, stability, and the best interests of the children—not a broken system.

an par signant merifikan di seji kerkebir yenabibili tahulleri.

and the second Makes was been a present at the problem of the second of the problem in the second of the first The second of the second of

and the first of the state of t

### PROPOSAL: EQUITY, CLARITY, AND MUTUAL ACCOUNTABILITY

्रात्त कर वर्षा विकास का प्रतिकार का कारणायु । इति । सुष्का सुन्ता विकास विकास का विकास का विकास का विकास का इति का विकास कि विकास का सुन्ता का कारणायु । इति । सुष्का सुन्ता के सुन्ता का स्थापना का विकास का विकास का विक

I hereby propose the following as a complete out-of-court resolution:

### I. Shared Parenting Agreement from the house the product and the second of the second

- 50/50 shared custody and parenting time, effective immediately.
- Alternating weeks or another mutually acceptable structure, with both parents empowered to parent equally.
- · No parental gatekeeping, manipulation, or obstruction.
- We both maintain the **legal right to access**, **raise**, **and guide our children** without interference.

### II. Financial Responsibility

- I will **voluntarily contribute fair private support**, based on real income—not inflated imputed estimates or unlawful administrative determinations.
- Payments will be made directly to you, via agreed method (Zelle, Cash App, or check), and accompanied by clear records.
- This support will be consistent with our mutual obligations—not coercively levied or inflated through unlawful garnishments or IV-D schedules.
- You will not seek nor authorize third-party enforcement, assignment, or registration of this agreement with DCSS, HFS, or IDOR.

### III. Co-Parenting Principles

- We agree to communicate respectfully and in writing where necessary.
- The children will be informed that both parents love them and have equal standing.
- No disparagement, parental alienation, or power games.

### IV. Rights Preservation and Final Offer Terms

- I reserve all rights under the U.S. Constitution, UCC, Title 42, and state custody law.
- If this proposal is declined, I will file a formal parenting action, and I will do so
  with maximum enforcement of equal parenting, shared decision-making, and a
  full support recalculation based on true earnings, parenting time, and the
  improper actions already taken under color of law.

# V. CHILDREN'S STATUS, SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, AND PARENTAL CONTACT

As a biological and legal parent, I am **entitled under both Illinois law and the United States Constitution** to access information about the whereabouts, education, and well-being of our children. You are hereby formally requested to immediately provide the following:

- 1. Current residential address of the children;
- 2. Name and address of the school or educational program in which each child is currently enrolled;
- 3. Primary healthcare provider(s), if applicable;
- 4. Any other relevant information necessary for the **lawful exercise of parental rights** and duties, including emergency contact forms, custody or school documentation, and health updates.

You are **legally obligated** under Illinois law (750 ILCS 5/602.5 & 602.7) to share this information with the other parent, regardless of your personal preferences or the status of any prior proceedings. Blocking access to this information—**absent a valid court order**—constitutes interference with parental rights and will be treated accordingly in court.

I am ready and willing to peacefully reestablish contact with our children, and to resume regular communication and visitation consistent with shared parenting principles. I request that you coordinate a reasonable and immediate opportunity for in-person visitation or at minimum, video contact, to begin repairing the disruption caused by prolonged separation.

You have my word—and my formal commitment—that I will conduct all future interactions in a peaceful, non-confrontational, and child-focused manner. The children deserve to have **both of their parents** involved in their lives, especially now that the legal system is shifting away from adversarial enforcement.

This is not only my right—it is my duty.

Let this be the beginning of a **new**, **mature chapter** in how we raise them. One where we do not hide, alienate, or manipulate—but communicate, collaborate, and co-parent with mutual respect, regardless of the past.

人名英格雷 人名英格兰人 医骨骨 医骨髓 医二氏

Case: 24-3244 Document: 114 Filed: 04/04/2025 Pages: 8

Please provide the requested information in writing within 5 business days, or I will be forced to include this withholding in future filings as evidence of parental interference and potential emotional harm to the children.

Let's make the right decision—for them.

Let me be absolutely clear: If this escalates into court, I will file a full shared parenting petition, and the current legal record already gives me an upper hand due to the federal violations that have occurred. That includes civil rights violations, procedural misconduct, and retaliatory state enforcement—all of which will be introduced in any custody case if necessary.

This is your opportunity to avoid further scrutiny, legal proceedings, and involvement of additional oversight authorities. It is also a moment to show the children that both parents can act like responsible adults—not litigants.

### A MOMENT FOR PEACE

There is nothing more powerful in law than a parent who has both the record and the restraint to offer peace when he has already prevailed. I do not want to drag this into a second courtroom. I do not want my children to suffer because of bureaucratic agendas or false narratives. I want what is fair—and I am offering you a path forward that is equitable, dignified, and final.

Please reply in writing by **Monday April 7, 2025** whether you agree to enter into this arrangement. If no response is received, I will proceed with the required filings to protect my parental rights, recalibrate the financial structure, and force a resolution through the courts of jurisdiction.

This is not a threat. It is a lawful final notice—a door you can choose to walk through.

May we end this cycle for their sake, and begin again with mutual peace.

Respectfully,

Thomas E Camarda

Prevailing Party – Camarda v. Whitehorn, 7th Cir. No. 24-3244

**Constitutionally Secured Party** 

**All Rights Reserved** 

राज्या युराय, के अवस्ति के क्षेत्रित कर तथा **तथा सम्पर्धार्थ है** हुन कि विशेष **वृत्यत**ि कि या पर कर रहे। या गी 1909 जिस्स

Case: 24-3244 Document: 114 Filed: 04/04/2025

tcamarda@gmx.com

Sent:

tcamarda@gmx.com

uka ja

Friday, February 7, 2025 4:44 AM

Subject:

'Michelle Bieber' Shared Parenting Plan & Addressing Financial Concerns

Importance:

Subject: Shared Parenting Plan & Addressing Financial Concerns

### Michelle,

I appreciate you reaching out and I want to have an open conversation about both financial support and a proper custody arrangement. I have always been committed to providing for our children, both financially and emotionally, but the actions of the Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) have created an untenable situation that must be addressed.

Since August 2023, I have been subjected to severe financial and legal misconduct at the hands of the State. This includes:

- Illegally Defaulting Me in Title IV-D Court: From May through August 2023, my special appearance was ignored, and I was defaulted without due process, creating a fraudulent \$20,000 "arrears" balance that should have never existed.
- Unilateral and Unlawful Child Support Increases: The State increased my obligations from \$1,540 to \$2,148 per month with no legal basis, while simultaneously demanding over \$16,000 in arrears—amounts calculated without reassessment of my financial situation or compliance with federal law.
- Seizure of My Assets Without Due Process: The State seized my tax returns of \$5,665 and \$2,077 without justification, further exacerbating the financial damage. These actions have left me in a position where I cannot reasonably comply with the obligations imposed upon me.
  - Failure to Modify Support in Good Faith: Even when it became clear that the financial burdens placed upon me were unlawful and unsustainable, HFS refused to modify my support order to reflect reality, despite federal requirements that they do so.

# Shared Parenting and Financial Adjustments

The best path forward is to establish a 50/50 shared parenting plan, which not only benefits the children but also ensures a fair financial arrangement. Given my

Control of the last the figure of the control

. The group particular of the supplementation of the property of the contract

Horacon and the following the second and the control of the contro

Case: 24-3244 Document: 114 Filed: 04/04/2025 Pages: 8

income of \$96,000 per year and actual monthly expenses of \$5,500, a reasonable and lawful child support obligation—if necessary at all—should be calculated properly, taking into account equal parenting time and my ability to pay.

However, the State has actively obstructed these discussions and instead used illegal enforcement tactics to maintain a fraudulent financial order. Their refusal to reassess my obligations, failure to provide lawful notice before enforcement actions, and clear violations of my constitutional rights have created unnecessary conflict and financial hardship.

### **Proposed Parenting Time Schedule**

To ensure consistency and stability for the children, I propose a 50/50 Shared Parenting Plan, phased in gradually to allow them to adjust:

### Phase 1 (Spring 2025 - Transition Period)

- Every other weekend: Friday after school to Monday morning drop-off.
- One midweek overnight: (TBD based on children's school schedule).
- All major holidays equally split, alternating yearly.

### Phase 2 (Summer 2025 - Full Shared Parenting)

- Week-on, Week-off Rotation (Each parent has the children for one full week at a time).
- · Consistent exchanges on Sundays at 6 PM.
- Extended vacation time: Each parent may take two weeks of vacation time with the children per year, provided reasonable notice is given.

This schedule ensures stability, consistency, and quality time with both parents while prioritizing their school and extracurricular activities.

# Fair Child Support Calculation Based on Actual Income

Given that my current income is \$96,000 per year and my actual monthly expenses are \$5,500, any child support obligation must be properly calculated based on ability to pay and in accordance with federal guidelines while reflecting the real financial contributions each of us makes.

• Income Assumed for Calculation: \$96,000/year (\$8,000/month).

• Direct Monthly Expenses for the Children: \$5,500 (including housing, food, school, medical, and extracurriculars).

• Standard Child Support Calculation for Shared Custody: Adjusted to reflect actual costs and time with both parents.

A reasonable and fair child support amount in a 50/50 shared custody scenario would be approximately \$500 to \$700 per month, not to exceed \$1,000 to \$1,200 per month, rather than the inflated and unlawful amounts currently imposed. The current calculations are artificially high because they ignore parenting time adjustments and proper income assessments, which the State failed to conduct before making unilateral increases.

By moving to a fair and balanced shared parenting agreement, we eliminate the need for excessive State enforcement actions and instead ensure that both parents directly contribute to the children's well-being.

### **Additional Agreements**

100

- **Medical Costs:** Both parents to share uncovered medical and dental expenses equally.
- School and Extracurriculars: Shared decision-making and cost-sharing for school, activities, and other enrichment programs.
- Communication: Open and reasonable communication about parenting matters, using a co-parenting app to ensure clarity and documentation.
- Tax Dependency Exemptions: Alternating tax years for claiming dependents unless otherwise modified by a mutual agreement.

# Systemic Violations & Camarda v. Whitehorn

This situation is not just a family dispute—it is a direct result of systemic failures and misconduct by the Illinois Department of HFS, which is now being challenged in federal court in Camarda v. Whitehorn. This case addresses the unconstitutional enforcement of child support, due process violations, and financial coercion through unlawful levies and seizures.

The issues I have raised in that lawsuit are directly relevant to our situation. HFS has:

• Ignored federal consumer protection laws governing garnishments and levies.

and the second of the second of the properties of the second with the second of the second

Failed to provide due process before enforcing financial orders.

- Refused to properly assess my financial ability to pay, violating federal guidelines.
- Used unlawful tactics to accelerate arrears and increase financial pressure on me.

These actions do not just affect me—they have directly harmed our children's well-being by creating unnecessary financial instability. The State is weaponizing child support enforcement for financial gain, rather than acting in the best interests of the children, which is exactly why these matters are now being litigated at the federal level.

### **Next Steps**

I want to ensure our children are supported in a way that is fair, legal, and sustainable. I am open to discussions on modifying our arrangement, but the State's actions have made it impossible for me to comply under their current framework. The resolution must include:

- 1. A formal modification of child support to reflect my actual income and financial ability.
- 2. A shared parenting plan that prioritizes the best interests of the children and allows me to be present in their lives.
- 3. An acknowledgment that the State's enforcement tactics have been unlawful and must be corrected before any fair agreement can be reached.

I hope you recognize that I am not refusing to provide for our children—I am fighting against an unjust and unlawful system that is actively harming them by forcing me into an impossible financial position.

Let me know if you're willing to discuss this further.

# Thomas E. Camarda Secured Party, Pro Se Plaintiff

United States Court of Appeals – Seventh Circuit Camarda v. Whitehorn et al., Case No.: 24-3244

Federal Plaintiff and Secured Party Creditor